

DOL Updates Guidance on Proxy Voting and ESG

Introduction

On December 29, 2016, the U.S. Department of Labor ("DOL") issued new guidance for ERISA plans regarding proxy voting, statements of investment policy (including proxy voting policies) and other shareholder rights. The new guidance, Interpretive Bulletin ("IB") 2016 1, withdraws previous guidance which had been interpreted to restrict ERISA plans in voting proxies and exercising shareholder rights unless a cost benefit analysis had been conducted. The new guidance also confirms that material environmental, governance and social factors are permissible considerations when developing statements of investment policy. Trustees of ERISA plans may wish to review their proxy voting policies, statements of investment policy and investment manager contracts to ensure they reflect the new guidance.

Previous Guidance Withdrawn

By way of background, the DOL has issued a series of interpretive bulletins regarding Rules 402, 403 and 404 of Part 4 of Title I of ERISA that focus on proxy voting, investment policy statements and shareholder interactions with management to help fiduciaries understand their duties in managing corporate stock. IB 94 2, issued in 1994, confirmed that fiduciaries may engage with corporate officers and directors either in meetings or though letters in order to monitor the investment and influence corporate management, provided that such communication or monitoring is likely to enhance value.

Subsequent guidance, issued in 2008, emphasized the costs of exercising shareholder rights and cautioned against using plan assets to vote proxies or engage with management. IB 2008 2 stated that "[f]iduciaries must take [costs] into account in determining whether the exercise of rights . . . is expected to have an effect on the economic value of the plan's investment that will outweigh the cost of exercising such rights." This language has been interpreted by many legal advisors to prohibit ERISA plans from exercising shareholder rights, including the voting of proxies, unless the plan has performed a burdensome cost benefit analysis and concluded the action is more likely than not to result in an increase in the economic value of the plan's investment. This interpretation had the effect of discouraging ERISA plans from voting their proxies and exercising their

POSTED:

Jan 25, 2017

RELATED PRACTICES:

Employee Benefits

https://www.reinhartlaw.com/practices/employee-benefits

<u>Institutional Investor</u> Services

https://www.reinhartlaw.com/practices/institutional-investor-services



shareholder rights.[1]

In its most recent bulletin, the DOL has withdrawn its prior interpretive bulletin, stating that a cost benefit analysis of whether to vote proxies would not be required in most cases. The DOL affirmed that proxy voting is an important tool to communicate with and monitor issuers. IB 2016 1 provides "[p]roxies should be voted as part of the process of managing the plan's investment in company stock unless a responsible plan fiduciary determined that the time and costs associated with voting proxies with respect to certain types of proposals or issues may not be in the plan's best interest." (Emphasis added.) The DOL guidance clarifies that ERISA plan fiduciaries and their delegates have a fiduciary responsibility to vote proxies, except in special circumstances. [2] Trustees are also encouraged to develop proxy voting guidelines and have a fiduciary obligation to monitor proxy voting activities when they are delegated to external managers.[3]

"The fiduciary obligations of prudence and loyalty to plan participants and beneficiaries require the responsible fiduciary to vote proxies on issues that may affect the value of the plan's investment. This principle applies broadly." IB 2016-1.

The new guidance points out the shortcomings of IB 2008 2, which is out of step with current practices.[4] For most ERISA plans, voting a proxy can be done without incurring significant costs. Many ERISA plans have delegated proxy voting to investment managers, who in turn hire proxy advisors to streamline the process. In addition, the DOL points out that proxy voting may be an ERISA plan's only tool to communicate with management regarding the plan's interests. For many funds, including plans that own commingled or index funds, divestment may not be an option.

Long-Term Focus

One reason ERISA plans may not be positioned to simply divest of a holding is because they have adopted index fund investment strategies that expose portfolios to long-term company and systemic risk. The DOL guidance recognizes that voting proxies; engaging with companies and incorporating environmental, social and governance ("ESG") factors into investment decision-making can be important tools in managing that risk and promoting longer-term corporate business strategies. The DOL guidance points out that a growing number of



institutional investors are engaging companies on ESG issues,[5] and states that ESG "can be intrinsic to the market value of an investment." IB 2016 1 confirms that ERISA fiduciaries may consider long term considerations like material ESG factors when making investment decisions.

ESG

Another important change in the DOL guidance is that plan fiduciaries may consider ESG considerations. IB 2016 1, as with prior guidance, identifies categories of investment management decisions the investment policy statement may address.[6] In IB 2016 1, the DOL adds ESG factors, recognizing that ESG considerations are appropriate because of the growing number of institutional investors that now recognize the materiality of ESG issues and regularly engage with companies about them. For example, many public pension plans, which include a long-term focus because they must balance the current and future needs of beneficiaries,[7] have been incorporating ESG into their decision making for years.[8] The DOL also notes that regulators now require ESG disclosures, which are widely used by market participants. In addition, the DOL also observes that ignoring ESG considerations was a contributing factor in causing the financial crises.

Shareholder Engagement

The new guidance not only permits ERISA plans to consider long term considerations like ESG but also supports ERISA plans engaging with management on ESG issues, provided they impact shareholder value. While prior guidance had identified some topics that would be appropriate for shareholder engagement (e.g., the election of directors, executive compensation and M&A policy), the list has now been expanded to include governance structures, policies and practices to address material environmental or social factors, transparency and accountability in corporate decision making, responsiveness to shareholders, plans on climate change preparedness and sustainability, governance and compliance policies, and workplace diversity and equal opportunity. IB 2016 1 notes that shareholder engagement on these topics may be appropriate if there is a reasonable expectation that such efforts are likely to yield financial benefits. [9]

Takeaways for ERISA Plan Fiduciaries:

ERISA plan fiduciaries should consider voting their proxies, if they do not do so



already. IB 2016 1 has identified proxy voting as an important fiduciary tool for managing and monitoring investments, and the DOL has recognized the burdens to proxy voting are currently minimal.

- If ERISA plans do not currently vote proxies or if they delegate voting to external managers, then they may wish to develop or review their proxy voting policies to ensure the policies reflect recent industry developments and ERISA interpretations
- ERISA plan fiduciaries may incorporate material ESG factors into decision making, without concern of violating ERISA or the DOL's regulations.
 ERISA plan fiduciaries may also consider incorporating ESG considerations into their statements of investment policy to ensure that material long term considerations become a part of managers' decision making processes.
- ERISA plan fiduciaries may engage directly, or ensure their managers are
 engaging, with companies on a broader range of topics, including climate
 change, sustainability, diversity and other material ESG factors as long as they
 are related to corporate performance.
- When proxy voting has been delegated to external managers, ERISA plan fiduciaries should have a process in place to monitor voting and ensure its managers are implementing these fiduciary responsibilities appropriately.

How Legal Counsel Can Help

Reinhart's Institutional Investor Services team has decades of experience working alongside institutional investors to develop and review policies, contracts and processes to ensure they reflect best fiduciary practices. For example, Reinhart can assist ERISA plan fiduciaries and investment managers in developing proxy voting and other governance policies or updating existing policies. Reinhart may also counsel ERISA fiduciaries regarding procedures for hiring consultants and investment managers, including review of RFPs and negotiation of contracts. In addition Reinhart can evaluate consulting agreements and investment management agreements to ensure that provisions to address recent DOL interpretations of fiduciary duty are incorporated.

[1]IB 2016-1 notes that this narrow interpretation was inconsistent with long-standing DOL guidance. "IB 94-2 was also intended to make it clear that fiduciary



duties associated with voting proxies encompass the monitoring of decisions made and actions taken with regard to proxy voting, and that it was appropriate for a plan fiduciary to incur reasonable expenses in fulfilling those fiduciary obligations. While there may be special circumstances that might warrant a discrete analysis of the cost of the shareholder activity versus the economic benefit associated with the outcome of the activity, the Department did not intend to imply that such an analysis should be conducted in most cases."

[2] IB 2016 1 identifies one special circumstance—voting shares of foreign corporations—in which an ERISA plan fiduciary may reasonably decide not to vote proxies. In these cases, the DOL advises fiduciaries to consider whether the difficulty and expense in voting the shares is reflected in their market price.

[3] The DOL emphasizes named fiduciary oversight responsibilities in IB 2016-1. "Since the fiduciary act of managing plan assets that are shares of corporate stock includes the voting of proxies appurtenant to those shares of stock, a statement of proxy voting policy would be an important part of any comprehensive statement of investment policy. . . . Maintenance of a statement of investment policy by a named fiduciary does not relieve the named fiduciary of its obligations under ERISA section 404(a) with respect to the appointment and monitoring of an investment manager or trustee."

[4] IB-2016 explains, "The Department believes that in the eight years since its publication, the changes made to IB 94-2 by IB 2008-2 have been misunderstood and may have worked to discourage ERISA plan fiduciaries who are responsible for the management of shares of corporate stock from voting proxies and engaging in other prudent exercises of shareholder rights. In particular, the Department is concerned that IB 2008-2 has been read by some stakeholders to articulate a general rule that broadly prohibits ERISA plans from exercising shareholder rights, including voting of proxies, unless the plan has performed a cost-benefit analysis and concluded in the case of each particular proxy vote or exercise of shareholder rights that the action is more likely than not to result in a quantifiable increase in the economic value of the plan's investment."

[5] IB 2016 1 states, "[a] growing number of institutional investors are now engaging companies on ESG issues. According to a 2014 survey by the US SIF Foundation, 202 institutional investors or money managers representing \$1.72 trillion in United States domiciled assets filed or co filed shareholder resolutions on ESG issues at publicly traded companies from 2012 through 2014. US SIF FOUNDATION, Report on US Sustainable, Responsible and Impact



Investing Trends 2014." This trend has only increased in recent years. The most recent report from the SIF Foundation shows even stronger growth of ESG incorporation and shareholder resolutions on ESG issues, which has increased 33% since 2014. ESG related strategies account for more than \$1.00 out of every \$5.00 under professional management in the United States. The total United States domiciled assets under management using these strategies grew to \$8.72 trillion at the start of 2016.

- [6] In prior interpretive advice, IB 2015-1, the DOL stated: "An important purpose of this Interpretive Bulletin is to clarify that plan fiduciaries should appropriately consider factors that potentially influence risk and return. Environmental, social, and governance issues may have a direct relationship to the economic value of the plan's investment."
- [7] This is referred to as the fiduciary duty of impartiality and was applied to ERISA plans by the US Supreme Court in *Varity v Howe*, 516 U.S. 489 (1996).
- [8] Many of the largest institutional investors incorporate ESG factors into decision making because research shows that it reduces risk and improves returns over the long term. One such paper is available here.
- [9] IB 2016-1 states "the Department believes that IB 2008-2 may be read as discouraging fiduciaries from recognizing the long-term financial benefits that, although difficult to quantify, can result from thoughtful shareholder engagement when voting proxies, establishing a proxy voting policy, or otherwise exercising rights as shareholders."

These materials provide general information which does not constitute legal or tax advice and should not be relied upon as such. Particular facts or future developments in the law may affect the topic(s) addressed within these materials. Always consult with a lawyer about your particular circumstances before acting on any information presented in these materials because it may not be applicable to you or your situation. Providing these materials to you does not create an attorney/client relationship. You should not provide confidential information to us until Reinhart agrees to represent you.